C.I.A. AID BACKED BY WHITE HOUSE AS LEGAL POLICY

Katzenbach Cites 1947 Law in a Preliminary Report Prepared for Johnson

4 PRESIDENTS INCLUDED

Those Who Helped Agency Hailed for Efforts Toward 'Security of the Nation'

By BEN A. FRANKLIN

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23-The White House endorsed today a report saying that the Central Intelligence Agency had acted in accordance with Government regulations in its secret financial and intelligence penetration of educational, labor and church groups.

The report said that the agency's program had followed policies established by the National Security Council in effect under four Presidents and approved by interdepartmental review committees.

The report was contained in a letter from Under Secretary of State Nicholas B. Katzenbach to President Johnson, which was released at the White House. The letter said that the intelligence agency continued to be "indispensable to the security of the nation."

It continued:

"When the Central Intelligence Agency lent financial support to the work of certain American private organizations, it did not act on its own initiative but in accordance with national policies established by the National Security Council in 1952 through 1954."

Acted With Approval

that these policies had been igency's financing of student Eisenhower embraced by the Administra- groups.

The question of the agency's secret subsidies to student and tions of Harry S. Transport. Dwight D. Eisenhower, John F. stitutions Johnson.

fense or their representatives." yesterday.

rounding the agency's funding of private institutions, praised the "many far-sighted and the state of the sta the "many far-sighted and The study group was headed the top intelligence policy board and the free world."

port of certain private organizations not be permitted to obscure the value, or impede the effectiveness, of competent and dedicated career officials serving this country."

The letter was described by George Christian, the White House press secretary, as 8 "preliminary report" to the President by the investigating committee. The other committee members are John W. Gardner, Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, and Richard Helms, Director of Central Intelligence.

Mr. Christian said that the conclusions of Mr. Katzenbach's said." letter. The letter said that the committee would probably make its final report early next ımonth.

The preliminary report sought to disperse the responsibility for the controversy among top Washington officials of both parties over the last 15 years.
Its effect was to take some of the heat of criticism off the a policy of declining all public the foundations and organizaintelligence agency, which has comment and which is thus unable to defend itself.

back the comment last Tues- was steadily enlarged after day of Senator Robert F. Ken- 1960. nedy, Democrat of New York who said that it was unfair to let the agency "take the rap" for a program approved by "the executive branch in the Eisenhower, Kennedy and John

say whether Mr. Johnson had to have involved all top intelli-Mr. Katzenbach indicated bersonal knowledge of the gence officials of the outgoing

tions of Harry S. Truman, role in subsidizing private in- labor union groups reflected a for Lyndon B. propaganda and active political still too important a weapon in purposes in foreign lands is the cold war to risk ending. Mr. Katzenbach said that reported to have risen at a Con-"throughout, it [the intelligence agency] arted with the appropriate the state of the program, accordance to the program accordance to the pro agency acted with the approval Katzenbach was present. His ing to knowledgeable sources, of senior inter-departmental re-letter to the President released until a public controversy view committees, including the today was dated Feb. 22 and erupted last week following re-Secretaries of State and De referred to "your inquiry of ports of an article in Ramparts

Mr. Katzenbach, chairman of side study group assigned in to 80 per cent of the annual a special three-man committee 1960 to review the agency's budget of the National Student assigned by Mr. Johnson to in-secret funding of the National Association, obtaining intellivestigate the controversy sur- Student Association and other gence information and political

courageous Americans" who had by Mansfield D. Sprague, genquietly cooperated with the eral counsel of the Defense Deagency "in times of challenge partment and Assistant Secretional Student Association in and danger to the United States and the free world"

Assistant Secretional Security Affairs should be added to the National Student Association in competing abroad with the and the free world" ternational Security Alians, in the Eisenhowed Administrat. skilled parliamentary tactics and political expertise of Com-The letter also said "it was tion. At the time of study, Mr. vitally important" that the con- Sprague was president of the troversy over the agency's "sup- A.M.A. Overseas Corporation, with headquarters in Geneva.

Mr. Sprague's committee, which included Allen W. Dulles, then the Director of Central Intelligence, had been appointed by General Eisenhower osten-sibly the review United States "overseas information grams," but its assignment was described today by sources as

Sprague Unavailable

reached for comment.

Reached by telephone in New York, Mr. Sprague said:

"It is possible that we recommended that, but I cannot re-President had agreed with the member now exactly what we

He is now a vice president of the American Machine and Foundry Company.

The Sprague committee's proposal reportedly was not approved, in part because no alternative source of private funds could be found and in part because agency officials

Instead, available records of receiving agency funds indicate The letter also served to that the secret subsidy program

The White House declined to The 1960 debate is reported The decision to continue the intelligence, view that the operation was

No serious policy considera-It was learned that an out-lagency had been providing up

In the early nineteen-fifties, the National Security Council, chaired by the President was believed determined to give fimunist-bloc delegations at postwar youth conferences.

The intelligence agency and the Security Council were reported to have been proud of the United States' first major postwar secret operation abroad, a well-financed program that helped defeat the Communists in the 1948 elections in Italy

But by 1952, largely because of the anti-Communist drive by the late Scnator Joseph R. Mc-Carthy, Republican of Wiscon-Mr. Sprague could not be sin, there was believed to be no hope of obtaining money from Congress to finance leftwing American students and unions in fighting Communism.

Accordingly, official sources said, the National Security Council issued a number of broad directives between 1952 and 1954 under which specific proposals for secret intelligence agency financial support were juickly translated into action.

Approved For Release 7005 11/2 CIA-RDP70B00338R000300030025-5 o the list.

NEWYOLK TIMES 24/6867

Contrary to a widely held belief that the agency is prohibited by law from engaging in clandestine activities within the United States,—it is enjoined only from "internal security functions" — the 1947 statute that created the agency is so broad that informed sources said there never had been a serious question about its authority to deal secretly in this country with home-based groups.

Those chosen to be instruments of American intelligence were "outward looking" with legitimate interests overseas, the sources said.

The National Security Act of 1947 directs theagency not only to assume the largest role in intelligence gathering, but also "to perform such other functions and duties related to intelligence affecting the national security as the National Security Council may from time to time direct."

The key words are "other functions" and the key to action has been a flow of secret and apparently generous appropriations by Congress to execute them.

Security Council directives specifically authorizing the agency subsidy program are classified "secret," but they are reported to exist. As the White House indicated today, they have been reviewed and endorsed periodically by top intelligence officials since the Truman and Eisenhower Administrations originally approved them.

Only once in its 20-year history has the intelligence agency made an open acknowledgement and defense of its secret activities in the United States in support of operations abroad. It did so then with a secret document and a minimum of talk.

The argument and the document were submitted to Judge Roszel C. Thomsen in Federal District Court in Baltimore in the fall of 1966, during a long and embarrassing slander trial against an admitted intelligence agent operating in the United States, Juri Raus.

Mr. Raus, asserted to have been on official orders of the agency, acknowledged having slandered a fellow Estonian emigré Ecrik Heine, by calling him a Soviet secret agent. The accusation had the effect desired by the C.I.A. Mr. Heine was subsequently shunned and isolated from the Estonian emigré community. He later sued Mr. Raus for defamation, demanding \$110,000 in damages. His suit was dismissed last Dec. 8 and is on appeal.

But while arguing the propriety of agency operations in the United States before Judge Thomsen, Paul R. Connolly, a private Washington attorney engaged by the agency to defend Mr. Raus, cited "National Security Council Directive No. 2", classified "secret" and then locked "in camera" in Judge Thomsen's office safe, as "complete and expressive" authority for agency activities on home ground.

Judge Thomsen agreed, but not easily. At one point, he observed that even after reading the secret directive he found the function of the agency "certainly an esoteric subject."

Judge Thomsen declared that "the public is interested that the C.I.A. behaves itself and that its agents behave themselves. The people of the United States are also interested in seeing that the legitimate activities of the United States, which must be conducted through individuals, are not hampered by a too strict application of legal principles, including the principles of libel and slander."

Two months later, on Dec. 8, he said in his opinion dismissing Mr. Heine's slander suit that since "no way to avoid choosing between two evils has been suggested or discovered," he was upholding the C.I.A.'s argument as the lesser of the two.

TEXT OF LETTER

The text of Mr. Katzenbach's letter to President Johnson follows:

With respect to your inquiry of yesterday, I wish to assure you that Secretary Gardner, Mr. Helms and myself will be able to complete our inquiry into the relations of Government agencies and private organizations operating abroad in the very near future. I anticipate that it will be possible to report our conclusions and recommendations early next month.

In the interval, there are certain basic facts with respect to past activities of the Central Intelligence Agency in this area which should be underscored.

When the Central Intelligence Agency lent financial support to the work of certain American private organizations, it did not act on its own initiative but in accordance with national policies established by the National Security Council in 1952 through 1954. Throughout it acted with the approval of senior interdepartmental review committees, including the Secretaries of State and Defense or their representatives. These policies have, therefore, been in effect under four Presidents.

Th support provided by the Central Intelligence Agency enabled many far-sighted and courageous Americans to serve their country in times of challenge and danger to the United States and the free world.

Furthermore, the Central Intelligence Agency has been, and continues to be, indispensable to the security of this nation. It is vitally important that the current controversy over its support of certain private organizations not be permitted to obscure the value, or impede the effectiveness, of competent and dedicated career officials serving this country.